



**GUIDANCE NOTES ON**

---

**NEARSHORE POSITION MOORING**

**OCTOBER 2017**

**American Bureau of Shipping  
Incorporated by Act of Legislature of  
the State of New York 1862**

**© 2017 American Bureau of Shipping. All rights reserved.  
ABS Plaza  
16855 Northchase Drive  
Houston, TX 77060 USA**

## Foreword

These Guidance Notes provide direction for the design and analysis of nearshore position mooring systems used for floating structures such as Floating Offshore Liquefied Gas Terminals (FLGTs), Floating Storage and Regasification Units (FSRUs), Floating Production Storage and Offloading installations (FPSOs) and accommodation barges. Nearshore position mooring in these Guidance Notes is intended to provide long-term solutions for stationkeeping for these floating structures.

These Guidance Notes are applicable to the position mooring system and mooring equipment onboard the floating structures. The design of jetties or similar bottom supported structures used for the mooring of floating structures is generally the responsibility of the Owner. These structures should be designed in accordance with related industry guidelines and standards, and they should be able to provide required holding capacity to the mooring systems.

Nearshore position mooring in these Guidance Notes cover the following types of mooring configurations:

- i) Jetty Mooring
- ii) Tension Pile Mooring

These Guidance Notes should be used in conjunction with the *ABS Rules for Building and Classing Floating Production Installations (FPI Rules)*, *ABS Guide for Building and Classing Floating Offshore Liquefied Gas Terminals (FLGT Guide)* and *ABS Guide for Building and Classing LNG Regasification Vessels*.

These Guidance Notes become effective on the first day of the month of publication.

Users are advised to check periodically on the ABS website [www.eagle.org](http://www.eagle.org) to verify that this version of these Guidance Notes is the most current.

*We welcome your feedback. Comments or suggestions can be sent electronically by email to [rsd@eagle.org](mailto:rsd@eagle.org).*

## Terms of Use

The information presented herein is intended solely to assist the reader in the methodologies and/or techniques discussed. These Guidance Notes do not and cannot replace the analysis and/or advice of a qualified professional. It is the responsibility of the reader to perform their own assessment and obtain professional advice. Information contained herein is considered to be pertinent at the time of publication, but may be invalidated as a result of subsequent legislations, regulations, standards, methods, and/or more updated information and the reader assumes full responsibility for compliance. This publication may not be copied or redistributed in part or in whole without prior written consent from ABS.



# GUIDANCE NOTES ON NEARSHORE POSITION MOORING

## CONTENTS

|                  |  |          |
|------------------|--|----------|
| <b>SECTION 1</b> | <b>Introduction .....</b>                                  | <b>1</b> |
| 1                | General .....  | 1        |
| 3                | Overview of Nearshore Position Mooring Systems .....       | 1        |
| 3.1              | Jetty Mooring.....   | 2        |
| 3.3              | Tension Pile Mooring.....                                  | 2        |
| 3.5              | Other Alternative Mooring Systems.....                     | 2        |
| 3.7              | Mooring Arrangement for Loading/Unloading Operations ..... | 3        |
| 3.9              | Comparison with other Mooring Systems.....                 | 3        |
|                  | <br>TABLE 1 Comparison of Mooring Systems.....             | <br>4    |
| <b>SECTION 2</b> | <b>Design Considerations .....</b>                         | <b>5</b> |
| 1                | Design Life .....  | 5        |
| 3                | Operational Considerations .....                           | 5        |
| 5                | Mooring Design Conditions.....                             | 5        |
| 5.1              | Survival Condition .....                                   | 5        |
| 5.3              | Operation Condition .....                                  | 6        |
| 5.5              | One Component Damage Condition .....                       | 6        |
| 5.7              | Disconnecting Condition.....                               | 6        |
| 7                | Environmental Conditions .....                             | 6        |
| 7.1              | Wind, Waves and Current .....                              | 7        |
| 7.3              | Water Depth .....  | 8        |
| 7.5              | Directions of Wind, Wave and Current .....                 | 8        |
| 7.7              | Site Specific Environmental Data .....                     | 8        |
| 9                | Environmental Loads .....                                  | 8        |
| 9.1              | Wind Loads .....   | 8        |
| 9.3              | Current Loads.....   | 9        |
| 9.5              | Wave Loads .....   | 9        |
| 9.7              | Passing Vessel Effects.....                                | 9        |
| 9.9              | Effect of Piers.....                                       | 10       |
| 9.11             | Multi-Body Dynamics.....                                   | 10       |
| 11               | Mooring Line and Fender Design Assessment.....             | 11       |
| 11.1             | Mooring Line and Fender Load for Strength Analysis .....   | 11       |
| 11.3             | Mooring Line Fatigue Load Analysis .....                   | 13       |
| 11.5             | Mooring Line Fatigue Capacity.....                         | 14       |
| 11.7             | Design Life and Replacement .....                          | 15       |

|                   |  |           |
|-------------------|--|-----------|
| 13                | Other Design Considerations.....                     | 15        |
| 13.1              | Clearance .....                                      | 15        |
| 13.3              | Mooring Supporting Structure.....                    | 15        |
| 13.5              | Mooring Holding Foundation.....                      | 15        |
| 13.7              | Corrosion, Wear and Rope Creep .....                 | 16        |
| 13.9              | Safety Considerations.....                           | 16        |
| 13.11             | Bend Radius and Bending Fatigue of Mooring Line..... | 16        |
| 13.13             | Axial Compression Fatigue of Fiber Rope .....        | 17        |
| 13.15             | Mooring Load Monitoring .....                        | 17        |
| 13.17             | Mooring Equipment.....                               | 17        |
| 13.19             | Inspection and Replacement .....                     | 17        |
| TABLE 1           | Factor of Safety for Anchoring Lines.....            | 11        |
| <b>SECTION 3</b>  | <b>Documentation .....</b>                           | <b>18</b> |
| 1                 | Mooring Arrangement and Equipment.....               | 18        |
| 3                 | Analysis Reports .....                               | 18        |
| 5                 | Operations Manual.....                               | 19        |
| <b>APPENDIX 1</b> | <b>Abbreviations .....</b>                           | <b>20</b> |
| 1                 | Abbreviations .....                                  | 20        |
| <b>APPENDIX 2</b> | <b>Reference Standards and Guidelines .....</b>      | <b>21</b> |
| 1                 | ABS Rules, Guides and Guidance Notes .....           | 21        |
| 3                 | Industry Standards and Guidelines.....               | 21        |



## SECTION 1 Introduction

### 1 General

These Guidance Notes provide direction for the design and analysis for nearshore position mooring systems used for floating structures such as Floating Offshore Liquefied Gas Terminals (FLGTs), Floating Storage and Regasification Units (FSRUs), Floating Production Storage and Offloading installations (FPSOs) and accommodation barges.

The nearshore mooring system in this document is intended to provide a long-term permanent stationkeeping solution, in that it is designed to keep the floating structure on position throughout its design life.

Position mooring systems should be designed in accordance with applicable rules or guides with a level of safety that matches the intended service. The requirements for mooring systems are in *ABS Rules for Building and Classing Floating Production Installations (FPI Rules)*. Industry guidelines, such as *Mooring Equipment Guidelines, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition (MEG3)*, published by Oil Companies International Marine Forum (OCIMF), may be used for nearshore position mooring systems where applicable.

Nearshore position mooring systems have special characteristics in comparison with offshore mooring systems and nearshore temporary berthing covered by OCIMF MEG3. Comparisons of those mooring systems are shown in 1/3.9.

These Guidance Notes primarily focus on:

- i) Jetty mooring or tension pile mooring
- ii) Design environment considerations
- iii) Operating conditions
- iv) Survival conditions of mooring systems
- vi) Environmental Loads and bearing capacity of the mooring systems
- vii) Emergency release of the mooring system
- viii) Mooring equipment qualification
- ix) Maintenance, monitoring and inspection

The design of bottom fixed structures, such as a jetty, a pier, quay, sea island, wharf, or dolphins, which serve as holding foundations for the mooring systems, is generally the responsibility of the owner. Those structures should be designed in accordance with relevant industry guidelines and standards, and they should be able to provide the required holding capacity for the mooring systems.

### 3 Overview of Nearshore Position Mooring Systems

Nearshore position mooring systems have close proximity to the shoreline, and the access to the mooring system is relatively simple. In most cases, they can be accessed directly from structures that are connected to shore. They are normally located in areas where currents and wave motions are influenced by sea bed bathymetry.

Similar to the position mooring systems defined in the *ABS FPI Rules* and *ABS Guide for Building and Classing Floating Offshore Liquefied Gas Terminals (FLGT Guide)*, a nearshore position mooring system can be designed based on one of the following two options:

- i) *Non-disconnectable.* Floating structure stays at the location, even in severe weather. The mooring system is designed for extreme events with the floating structure connected.
- ii) *Disconnectable.* Floating structure departs ahead of severe weather. The mooring system is disconnected from the floating structure. The mooring system alone is designed for extreme events if applicable.

Nearshore position mooring in these Guidance Notes cover the following types of mooring configurations:

- i) Jetty Mooring (see 1/3.1)
- ii) Tension Pile Mooring (See 1/3.3)
- iii) Other Alternative Mooring Systems (See 1/3.5)

### **3.1 Jetty Mooring**

Jetty mooring is a mooring system to affix the floating structure alongside a jetty structure. In a jetty mooring system, the anchoring points of the mooring system are either connected to onshore structures or nearshore structures such as a jetty (or a pier, quay, sea island, wharf, dolphins). A jetty mooring system consists of:

- Mooring lines (fiber ropes, chain, and/or wire ropes)
- Mooring end fitting and accessories (thimbles, shackles)
- Fenders
- Quick release hooks
- Mooring equipment onboard the floating structure (mooring winch, fairlead, chain stopper, chocks, bitts, rollers, and etc.)
- Jetty structure (mooring bollards, cleats, and/or other mooring equipment)

### **3.3 Tension Pile Mooring**

A tension pile mooring system is similar to the tendon system used for a Tension Leg Platform (TLP). In such a system, the position of the floating structure is maintained by the tension of several vertical piles fixed to the seabed. The floating structure is connected at the piles through pins and hooks or other connection hardware. The piles are uplifted in tension with the buoyancy of the floating structure. A tension pile mooring system consists of:

- Piles and foundation of the piles
- Hooks on the top of piles
- Pins/extended pins on the hull of the floating structure

Tension piles are within the scope of ABS classification, and should comply with the requirements in Section 6-1-2 of the *FPI Rules*.

### **3.5 Other Alternative Mooring Systems**

There are other alternative mooring systems such as:

- Guide pile mooring
- Hinged stiff leg restraint mooring

Unconventional mooring equipment is either in-use or proposed at a small number of terminals. Examples are:

- Vacuum pads
- ITB-style (Integrated Tug/Barge) hydraulic pins and matching slot

Nearshore position mooring technology is currently evolving. For innovative designs, reference can be made to ABS *Guidance Notes on Review and Approval of Novel Concepts* and the principles contained in these guidance notes.

### 3.7 Mooring Arrangement for Loading/Unloading Operations

For FLGT or FSRU, an LNGC is usually used to load/unload cargoes from the FLGT or FSRU. The arrangement of FLGT/FRSU, LNGC and sometimes a jetty structure can be one of the following configurations:

- i) *Side-by-side Mooring.* The LNGC alongside FLGT/FSRU, and FLGT/FSRU may be moored alongside a jetty structure in the case of jetty mooring, or with other types of mooring.
- ii) *Tandem Mooring.* The LNGC is moored behind the FLGT/FSRU, both may be moored alongside a jetty structure in the case of jetty mooring, or with other types of mooring.
- iii) *Cross Dock Jetty Structure.* The LNGC and the FLGT/FSRU are moored on either side of the jetty structure.

### 3.9 Comparison with other Mooring Systems

There are many commonalities between nearshore position mooring and offshore mooring in terms of environmental load analysis and strength assessment of the mooring system.

Nearshore position mooring also has similarities to temporary berthing systems in terms of surrounding environment, shore accessibility and mooring system arrangements.

Section 1, Table 1 provides a comparison between nearshore position mooring, offshore mooring, and temporary berthing. The list in the table highlights the differences that should be taken into consideration in the design of nearshore position mooring systems.

**TABLE 1**  
**Comparison of Mooring Systems**

|   | <i>Offshore Mooring for FPI</i>  | <i>Temporary Berthing</i>   | <i>Nearshore Mooring</i>   |
|---|--|---|--|
| Moored Floating Structure Types         | Production facility/Terminal   | Ocean going/trading vessels   | Production facility/Terminal   |
| Design Life                             | 20 years or more   | N/A   | In accordance with the moored facility   |
| Distance to Shore                       | No direct access to shore  | Direct access to shore  | Direct access to shore   |
| Water Depth                             | In general water depth has no significant impact on wave and current load on the moored floater  | No specific requirement for the return period for the high and low water level  | Water depth has impact on wave and current load, as well as clearance. Should consider 100-year high and low water level in the analysis                                 |
| Environmental Conditions                | Open ocean condition, Site specific wave statistics are normally available   | Site specific wave data may be derived from the record of deep water location. Bathymetry and bottom effect may be important. Increased current load due to small bottom clearance. | Similar to temporary berthing  |
| Mooring Arrangement                     | No easy access to the mooring components. Mooring lines are submerged and relatively long. The natural period of the mooring systems is relatively long. | Mooring lines are in the air. Fenders attached to the terminals contact the moored vessels. Mooring lines are short and the natural period of the mooring systems is short.         | For jetty mooring, similar to temporary berthing.  |
| Equipment                               | Mooring equipment onboard the facility and controlled on the facility  | Mooring equipment onboard the mooring terminals or on the vessel  | Similar to offshore mooring  |
| Mooring Line Adjustment and Replacement | Should be avoided if possible. Replacement is expensive and not easy.  | Often adjusted, wear and tear could be high. The replacement is relatively easy.  | Jetty mooring is similar to temporary berthing, however, replacement may disrupt the operation and the cost may be high.   |
| Operational Considerations              | Continuous production operation for a relatively long period of time   | Loading/offloading in a relatively short period of time   | Similar to offshore mooring  |
| Monitoring Systems                      | Not often used during normal operation   | Often used during mooring line adjustment, and berthing/unberthing  | Should be used for mooring line adjustment and for disconnecting   |
| Inspection Methods                      | Divers and ROV   | Easy access for visual inspection and measurement   | Similar to temporary berthing  |
| Analysis Method                         | Coupled dynamic analysis method including motions and line dynamic effects   | Uncoupled quasi-static method where dynamic effects are often not included  | Recommend the coupled dynamic analysis method  |
| Strength Assessment                     | 100-year return period environment for strength assessment   | No specific return period.  | Recommend using 100-year return period environment and also include the values for maximum high water and minimum low water levels                                       |
| Fatigue Assessment                      | Required   | Not required  | Required in general if the components are designed for long-term use. If the components are designed for periodical replacement, fatigue assessment may not be necessary |





## SECTION 2 Design Considerations

### 1 Design Life

The design life is defined as the intended service life for the nearshore position mooring system. The design life of the mooring system may be different from the design life of the floating structure to which it is connected. The design life for a nearshore position mooring should generally be 20 years, or in accordance with the intended onsite service time of the floating structure that uses the mooring system for position keeping. This applies to mooring line components, fenders and equipment that are not intended to be replaced within the design life.

Depending on the inspection and maintenance program, the actual design life of the mooring line components, fenders and equipment can vary if they are designed for easy retrieval, repair or replacement. Some mooring line components such as rope tails may be designed for temporary use. Such components should be periodically inspected during their service life and replaced if necessary.

### 3 Operational Considerations

Operational considerations, such as offset and accelerations, may set design limits to the nearshore position mooring system and should be considered in the mooring system design.

Operational considerations for the nearshore position mooring include but are not limited to:

- Berthing and un-berthing of the LNGC or other carriers
- Loading and offloading of gas or other products
- Disconnection and departure of the floating structure, as applicable
- Operations for production, regasification, or other activities, as applicable
- Operations of systems and equipment on board the floating structure that can be affected by the mooring system and the floating structure

### 5 Mooring Design Conditions

The design of a nearshore position mooring system should address the conditions described in 2/5.1 through 2/5.7.

#### 5.1 Survival Condition

During survival condition, the floating structure is moored alone without loading/offloading carriers attached. Normal operations are suspended and loading devices (such as rigid loading arm or flexible hoses) are disconnected.

During survival condition, for a disconnectable nearshore position mooring, the mooring system stands alone without floating structure if applicable.

For the survival condition, the mooring system should be designed based on design limits for strength and fatigue, where applicable, under the design environment condition (DEC).

### 5.3 Operation Condition

During the operation condition, the moored floating structures carries out production activities. The limiting environmental conditions for operations should be specified by the owner/operator. The limiting conditions could be determined from the floating structure's motions, onboard equipment and loading devices. Under the operation condition, the mooring system should be designed to not exceed the following limits:

- i) Maximum allowable mooring tensions and loads under the owner specified operation environmental conditions
- ii) Maximum allowable offsets under the the limits of the loading devices, such as rigid loading arms or flexible hoses, or owner specified operation environment conditions, where applicable
- iii) Fatigue strength, where applicable

### 5.5 One Component Damage Condition

A one component damage condition occurs when one component, (such as a mooring line component) which could affect the position holding capability of the mooring system, is damaged.

Under this condition, the mooring system should be designed to not exceed the following limits:

- i) Maximum mooring tensions and loads
- ii) Maximum offsets, where applicable

Where the spare components are available for a mooring system and the replacement can happen quickly and can be assumed that there is no effect on the position holding capability due to the damage of the component, the damage condition for such a component may be excluded. The details of the spare components, replacement, and the failure analysis should be documented. Fenders may be considered as a no-fail component.

### 5.7 Disconnecting Condition

For a disconnectable nearshore position mooring system, the disconnecting condition should be included in the design. A disconnecting condition occurs when the mooring system is disconnected under specified limiting environment conditions.

Under this condition, the mooring system should be designed to not exceed the following limits:

- i) Maximum mooring tensions and loads under specified disconnecting environment conditions
- ii) Maximum offsets under specified disconnecting environment conditions, where applicable

## 7 Environmental Conditions

Site-specific environmental conditions include:

- Wind (speed, direction and wind profile)
- Wave, swell and seiche (wave heights, periods and directions)
- Current (speed, direction and current profile)
- Water depth (tides, storm surge, bathymetry, etc)
- Air and sea temperature
- Ice and snow
- Marine growth
- Seismicity
- Sea ice
- Soil data, if applicable

Environmental criteria for the mooring systems includes:

- Design Environmental Condition (DEC), or DISconnecting Environmental Condition (DISEC)
- Design Operating Condition (DOC)
- Long term statistics for fatigue analysis

The mooring system should be designed to survive the Design Environmental Condition (DEC) and, if applicable, the Disconnecting Environmental Condition (DISEC). The mooring system should remain operational under the specified Design Operating Condition (DOC).

The DEC should be selected, in general, based on 100-year return period conditions for wind, wave, and current, as specified in 3-2-3/1 of the *FPI Rules*. For nearshore position mooring, especially in shallow water where water elevation (tide, etc.) plays an important role, a 100-year return period of Maximum High Water (MHW) level and Minimum Low Water (MLW) should be considered.

For the Design Operating Condition, the following conditions are typically considered:

- One-year return period conditions for wind, current and wave, at the Lowest Astronomical Tide and Highest Astronomical Tide, with the maximum unit loading condition and the ballast-only unit loading condition.
- LNGCs designed for worldwide trading should be provided with mooring equipment capable of resisting a 60 knot wind from any direction, simultaneous with 3 knots of current at 0° or 180° relative heading, or 2 knots current at 10° or 170° relative heading, or 0.75 knot current from the direction of maximum beam current loading.

## **7.1 Wind, Waves and Current**

Nearshore locations may be exposed to adverse swell and long-period swell which imposes additional cyclic loads on the mooring system. Currents may be tidal and reverse direction every few hours, or they may be relatively steady, as in a river. Wind loads may be steady or sudden in a frontal passage or thunderstorm. It is important to gather basic metocean data at the particular site and design the mooring system according to the maximum environmental conditions encountered while the floating structure remains moored.

Nearshore wind, wave and currents may be different from those in open oceans. Conditions such as tsunamis, swell, and seiche may be critical for nearshore position mooring system design based on the site location. Care should be taken when assessing this data.

### **7.1.1 Wind**

The wind conditions for various design conditions should be established from collected wind data and should be consistent with other environmental parameters assumed to occur simultaneously.

The environmental report should present wind statistics for the installation site. The statistics should be based on the analysis and interpretation of wind data by a recognized consultant. The report should include a wind rose or table showing the frequency distributions of wind velocity and direction and a table or graph showing the recurrence period of extreme winds. The percentage of time for which the operational phase limiting wind velocity is expected to be exceeded during a year and during the worst month or season should be identified.

### **7.1.2 Waves**

The wave height versus wave period relationships for the design sea state should be accurately determined from oceanographic data for the area of operation. The period can significantly affect wave drift forces and vessel motions, and therefore a range of wave periods should be examined. For fatigue analysis, the long-term joint distribution of wave heights and periods (scatter diagram) is required. For some locations, swell may also be important and should be considered for mooring system design.

The penetration of long period low amplitude waves into a harbor can result in resonant standing waves, when the wave forcing frequency coincides with a natural frequency of the harbor. The resonant standing waves can result in large surge motions if this frequency is close to the natural frequency of the mooring system.

### 7.1.3 Current

The most common categories of currents are:

- i)* Tidal currents (associated with astronomical tides)
- ii)* Circulation currents (loop and eddy currents)
- iii)* Storm-generated currents
- iv)* Soliton currents

The vector sum of the currents applicable to the site is the total current for each associated sea state. The speed and direction of the current at different elevations should be specified. In certain areas, current force can be the governing design load. Consequently, a selection of the appropriate current profile requires careful consideration.

## 7.3 Water Depth

The consideration of water depth should take into account the following factors:

- Water level variation due to tides and storm surge
- Bathymetry
- Seafloor subsidence, if applicable

## 7.5 Directions of Wind, Wave and Current

The mooring system should be assessed under the most unfavorable combination of wind/wave/current directions that can be reasonably assumed to occur. Direction combinations of wind, wave and current other than those specified in 3-2-3/1.7 of the *FPI Rules* may be considered for the nearshore position mooring system design based on site specific environmental data. The ability of the floating structure to change heading in response to changing environmental conditions may be considered.

## 7.7 Site Specific Environmental Data

The design values of wind, wave and current with required return periods should be based on site specific environmental data.

# 9 Environmental Loads

The environmental loads should be calculated and submitted for review. General guidelines are given in this Subsection.

## 9.1 Wind Loads

Wind loads should be calculated for all design conditions. Two methods are generally used to assess effects of wind for design:

- i)* Wind is treated as constant in direction and speed, which is taken as the 1-minute average.
- ii)* Fluctuating wind is modeled by a steady component, based on 1-hour average velocity, plus a time-varying component calculated from a suitable empirical wind gust spectrum.

For the final design of permanent moorings, Method *ii)* should be used. However, Method *i)* may be used if it can be shown to be more conservative.

Wind speeds are normally given at a reference height of 10 meters (33 feet) above sea level. To convert the wind velocity at a reference height for a given time average to velocity of a different height and time average, the relationship should be derived based on site-specific conditions.

Wind loads can be calculated based on wind profiles and windage areas. The total wind force is obtained by summing up the wind forces on each windage area.

For ship-type floating structures with relatively small superstructures (e.g., LNGCs), wind forces can be calculated by using the coefficients presented in OCIMF MEG3. Additional forces due to superstructures and equipment can be calculated for each windage area and added to the total wind forces.

Wind forces may be determined by using wind tunnel or towing tank model test data. Model test data may be used to predict wind loads for mooring system design provided that a representative model of the unit is tested, and that the condition of the model in the tests, such as draft and deck cargo arrangement, closely matches the expected conditions that the unit will see in service. Care should also be taken so that the character of the flow in the model test matches the character of flow for the full scale unit.

### **9.3 Current Loads**

Current loads should be calculated with considerations of current direction and current profiles. Analytical methods, model test data or full-scale measurements can be used for calculation. Current profiles used in the design should be representative of the expected conditions at the installation site.

The effect of underkeel clearance on current force should be included. Where appropriate, flutter and dynamic amplification due to vortex shedding should be taken into account.

Current forces can be calculated for ship-type floating structures (e.g., LNGC) using the coefficients presented in Section 2/3 of OCIMF MEG3.

### **9.5 Wave Loads**

Calculation of wave loads are normally based on a radiation/diffraction analysis up to the second order. The main output of a radiation/diffraction analysis gives first-order excitation forces, hydrostatics, potential damping, added mass, first-order motions in 6 Degrees-Of-Freedom (DOFs) and second-order wave forces/moments.

Hydrodynamic interactions between multiple ( $n$ ) floating structures in close proximity may also be solved using radiation/diffraction analyses, where the floating structures are normally solved in an integrated system with motions in  $n \times 6$  DOFs.

For structures consisting of slender members that do not significantly alter the incident wave field, semi-empirical formulations, such as Morison's equation, may be used. For calculation of wave loads on structural configurations that significantly alter the incident wave field, appropriate methods which account for both the incident wave force (e.g., Froude-Krylov force) and the forces resulting from wave diffraction should be used. In general, application of Morison's equation may be used for structures comprising slender members with diameters (or equivalent diameters giving the same cross-sectional areas parallel to the flow) less than 20 percent of the wave lengths.

Special considerations for wave loads for nearshore position mooring design are listed below:

- Interaction effects between carrier, moored floating structure (vessel) and jetty structure especially for side-by-side mooring configuration (for example LNGC/FSRU/Jetty).
- Effect of bathymetry and sea floor may need to be considered for the wave load calculation.
- A full quadratic transfer function (QTF) matrix is normally required for second-order wave drift forces in shallow water where wave load is a dominant environment condition.
- The change of the seafloor topography due to sedimentation and others during the life time of the floater may need to be considered.

### **9.7 Passing Vessel Effects**

In locations with passing vessels, the passing vessel may induce considerable loads on the moored floating structure. Those loads should be considered in the design.

When calculating the passing vessel induced loads, the sway and surge forces, as well as yaw moment, on a moored floating structure should be established considering the following factors:

- Distance from the passing vessel to the moored floating structure
- Passing vessel size (ratio of length of moored floating structure to length of passing vessel, ratio of midship section areas of the moored floating structure and passing vessel)
- Passing vessel velocity

- Underkeel clearance of the moored floating structure and passing vessels
- Draft and trim of the moored floating structure and draft of the passing vessel
- Mooring line tension (tension distribution between lines, snap loads for slack lines)

Normal operating wind and current conditions can be assumed when calculating forces due to passing vessels. Methodologies used to determine forces/moments on a moored floating structure are primarily:

- Field measurements
- Model tests
- Empirical equations
- Conventional hydrodynamic analysis
- Advance analysis based on computational fluid dynamics (CFD) techniques

### 9.9 Effect of Piers

The effect of piers is one of the key design considerations. Consideration should be given to:

- Hydrodynamic interaction between the moored floating structure/pier/carrier
- Shielding for wind and current loads of the pier to the moored floating structure

Piers constructed on piles are effectively transparent to waves, but solid piers can have a significant influence by reflecting the waves, and interaction with the waves generated by the motions of the floating structure.

### 9.11 Multi-Body Dynamics

When two or more than two floating structures operate in close proximity, multi-body dynamics should be considered. Model test results should be used if available. The hydrodynamics related to multi-body dynamics are complex. This relates to the:

- Interaction in the first-order wave forces, added mass and damping, wave drift forces.
- Viscous damping of the surge, sway, yaw and roll motion of the vessel in close proximity of another structure
- Interaction effect in the wind and current forces

For a single body with 6 DOFs, the equations of motion can be written as:

$$\sum_{j=1}^6 (M_{kj} + m_{kj}) \ddot{x}_j + B_{kj} \dot{x}_j + C_{kj} x_j = F_k$$

where

- $x_j$  = motion in  $j$ -direction
- $F_k$  = external forces in the  $k$ -mode of motion
- $M_{kj}$  = inertia matrix
- $m_{kj}$  = added inertia matrix
- $B_{kj}$  = matrix of restoring coefficients
- $C_{kj}$  = matrix of damping force coefficients
- $k, j$  = 1-6, mode of motion

For multiple-bodies (number of bodies  $n$ ), the integrated system will result in  $n \times 6$  DOFs coupled motion of equations. All  $n$  bodies can be subject to wave-induced forces, hydrodynamic reaction forces and other coupling effects (either linear or non-linear). The inertia and added inertia matrices are derived from multi body diffraction analysis in the frequency domain.

When hydrodynamic loads are calculated based on multi-body dynamics, the wave shielding of one body by another body is taken into account automatically. The wave exciting forces, the added mass and damping of a given body is calculated with the other bodies present. For cross coupling terms (off-diagonal coupling terms), when the bodies are in close proximity such as in the side-by-side configurations, the hydrodynamic cross coupling should be included in the analysis. The fully-coupled matrix with the cross coupling between the different bodies should be used for multi-body dynamic analysis.

## 11 Mooring Line and Fender Design Assessment

### 11.1 Mooring Line and Fender Load for Strength Analysis

A strength analysis should determine the maximum loads and maximum offsets for the design conditions. In a one component damage condition, a transient analysis should be performed to determine the maximum offsets.

Results from the strength analysis are then checked against allowable values for the adequate strength of the system against overloading and the sufficient clearance to avoid interference with other structures.

Mooring lines should be designed with the factors of safety specified in Section 6-1-1 of the *FPI Rules*. They are also listed in Section 2, Table 1 below with respect to the breaking strength and fatigue characteristics of mooring lines. These factors of safety are dependent on the design conditions of the system, as well as the level of analyses. Allowances for corrosion and abrasion of a mooring line should also be taken into consideration where applicable.

**TABLE 1**  
**Factor of Safety for Anchoring Lines**

|  |       | <i>Factor of Safety</i> |
|--|-------|-------------------------|
| <i>All Intact</i>  |       |                         |
| Dynamic Analysis   | (DEC) | 1.67                    |
| Quasi-Static   | (DEC) | 2.00                    |
| <i>One Broken Line (at New Equilibrium Position)</i>             |       |                         |
| Dynamic Analysis   | (DEC) | 1.25                    |
| Quasi-Static   | (DEC) | 1.43                    |
| <i>One Broken Line (Transient)</i>                               |       |                         |
| Dynamic Analysis   | (DEC) | 1.05                    |
| Quasi-Static   | (DEC) | 1.18                    |
| <i>Mooring Component Fatigue Life w.r.t. Design Service Life</i> |       |                         |
| Inspectable areas  |       | 3.00                    |
| Non-inspectable and Critical Areas                               |       | 10.00                   |

Active mooring line adjustment should not be considered in the mooring analysis for maximum design conditions. For a mooring system where the mooring line load could be significantly affected by the different loading conditions (e.g., full load and ballast conditions), the mooring line may be adjusted for different loading conditions. In such a case, they should be documented clearly in operations manual.

Fender loads should be calculated under the maximum environmental conditions in which the floating structure is to remain at the berth. Fenders should be selected in accordance with the industry standards.

### 11.1.1 Strength Analysis for Jetty Mooring

For jetty mooring system strength analysis, methodologies and guidelines for position mooring as given in Section 6-1-1 of the ABS *FPI Rules* and guidelines for berthing as given in OCIMF MEG3 can be referenced where applicable.

The calculations of the mooring line tension and fender loads should be based on dynamic analysis methods. Where a different method is used, the assumptions and validations should be documented. To calculate mooring line tensions and fender loads, the following loads should be considered:

- Steady wind, current loads and mean wave drift forces
- First-order wave forces
- Slow wave drift forces
- Dynamic wind loads ( for wind loads calculation method, see 2/9.1ii )

Due to the nonlinearity of the mooring and fender systems, a time-domain analysis is recommended. The following analysis procedure may be used:

- i) Obtain mooring system configuration or pattern
- ii) Obtain environmental conditions
- iii) Set up dynamic load model
  - Loading conditions, mass properties of each floating structures
  - Distance between each floating structures where applicable
  - Hydrodynamic panel models for wave load
  - Wind load model
  - Current load model
- iv) Set up mooring line model
- v) Set up fender model
- vi) Calculate the hydrodynamic wave loads and hydrodynamic coefficients
  - Consideration of effects from pier
  - Consideration of passing by vessel effects
  - Consideration of hydrodynamic interaction effects of multiple bodies
  - Considerations of other loads, such as swell, tsunami or seiche
- vii) Calculate wind and current load or load coefficients
  - Consideration of floating structure draft, trim or list
  - Consideration of floating structure under keel clearance
- viii) Static analysis under steady wind, current and mean wave drift forces
- ix) Perform time domain simulations
- x) Obtain mooring line and fender loads
- xi) Obtain vessel offsets where applicable

Normally, if the mooring system is designed to the maximum design wave, wind and current forces, reserve strength will be sufficient to resist other moderate forces that may arise. However, if other appreciable forces, such as passing vessel effects, tsunami, seiche or ice conditions exist at the site, considerable loads can be developed in the mooring lines. These forces are difficult to analyze and should be usually determined through model testing, field measurements or advanced computer programs.



### 11.1.2 Strength Analysis for Tension Pile Mooring

Strength analysis is required for structures onboard the floating structure that are in the load bearing path of the mooring system. Load and motion analysis can be performed with the restrictions from the tension piles to the floating structure appropriately considered. These restrictions can be considered as additional stiffness that may be derived by a structural analysis using 3D finite element models. Guidelines for strength analysis can be found in 3-2-3/3 of the *FPI Rules* or other applicable industry standards.

For tension piles, guidelines for strength analysis can be found in Section 3/6 of the *Offshore Installations Rules*.

### 11.1.3 Strength Analysis for Other Nearshore Position Moorings

For nearshore position mooring with new features, the analysis methods should be submitted by the designer and reviewed by ABS on a case-by-case basis.

## 11.3 Mooring Line Fatigue Load Analysis

Fatigue analysis should be performed for mooring line components where applicable. For mooring line components designed to be repairable and replaceable, fatigue analysis for such components may not be necessary. The replacement schedule should be submitted for review.

Fatigue life estimates are made by comparing the long-term cyclic loading in a mooring line component with the fatigue capacity of that component. The factors of safety for fatigue life should be in accordance with the requirement in the *FPI Rules* and are also listed in Section 2, Table 1.

### 11.3.1 Fatigue Analysis for Jetty Mooring

For jetty mooring system fatigue analysis, methodologies and guidelines for position mooring as given in 6-1-1/3.5 of the *FPI Rules* can be referenced.

Mooring line fatigue loads should be calculated for each fatigue sea state based on long-term statistics. The calculations of the mooring line fatigue loads should be based on the dynamic analysis methods. To calculate mooring line fatigue loads the following loads should be considered:

- Steady wind, current loads and mean wave drift forces
- First-order wave forces
- Slow wave drift forces

The following approaches can be used for the analysis:

#### 11.3.1(a) Static and Frequency-Domain Analysis Approach

i) *Static Analysis under steady Wind, Current and Mean Wave Drift Forces.* Mooring line loads are calculated based on the principle of static equilibrium. The change of mooring system geometry due to elasticity of the line components should be considered in the analysis.

ii) *Frequency-Domain Analysis for First-Order Wave Loads Induced Mooring Line Fatigue Loads.* The first-order wave induced motions can be treated as independent of the mooring properties. The motions should be estimated from model tests, calculated using suitable computer software or measured from field observations at the site. Effects of pier and multibody dynamics should be considered.

The properties of local winds, seas and swell are generally different and independent. Their effects on floating structure motions should be estimated separately and then combined by taking the root of the sum of the squares of the two motions induced by waves and swell. The additional mooring line tensions due to wave motions are calculated by superimposing these motions onto the equilibrium position. When mooring line dynamic effects are significant, these effects can be considered through applying a line tension amplification factor.

iii) *Frequency-Domain Analysis for Dynamic Wind Load and Second-Order Wave Load Induced Mooring Line Fatigue Load.* The low frequency motions can be calculated based on linearized motion equations under the dynamic wind loads and second-order wave loads in the frequency domain. The motion induced mooring line loads then can be calculated based on the calculated motions.

11.3.1(b) Time-Domain Analysis Approach

- For each fatigue sea state, time-domain analysis can be performed based on the method as given in 2/11.1.1.
- Tension cycles can be obtained based on rain-flow counting
- Fatigue life calculation is based on given mooring line fatigue capacity model

Due to the nonlinearity of the jetty mooring system, it is recommended that a time-domain approach be used in the fatigue analysis. However, the frequency-domain dynamic analysis method may be used in the fatigue analysis provided the nonlinear effects are appropriately linearized. For further guidance on combination of the fatigue damage of wave frequency and low frequency components in the fatigue analyses in the frequency domain, reference can be made to API RP 2SK.

11.3.2 Fatigue Analysis for Tension Pile Mooring

Fatigue analysis is required for structures on board the floating structure that are in the load bearing path of the mooring system. Guidelines for fatigue analysis can be found in Section 5B-2-4 of the *FPI Rules* or other applicable industry standards.

For tension piles, guidelines for fatigue analysis can be found in Section 3/6 of the *Offshore Installations Rules*.

11.3.3 Fatigue Analysis for Other Nearshore Position Moorings

For nearshore position mooring with new features, the analysis methods should be submitted by the designer and reviewed by ABS on a case-by-case basis.

**11.5 Mooring Line Fatigue Capacity**

When fatigue analysis is required, the appropriate fatigue capacity models (S-N curves or T-N curves) for mooring line components of chain, wire rope and fiber rope should be used. As given in API RP 2SK, the T-N curve approach is used to determine the number of cycles to failure for a specific mooring component as a function of a constant normalized tension range. The T-N curve for a specific type of mooring component is established based on experimental results.

The equation for a representative T-N curve is:

$$N \cdot T^m = K$$

where

- $N$  = number of permissible *cycles* of tension range ratio,  $T$
- $T$  = ratio of tension range (double amplitude) to the reference breaking strength of the component (see guidance given below)
- $m$  = inverse slope of the T-N fatigue curve
- $K$  = constant coefficient or mean load dependent coefficient

When determining the reference breaking strength of the mooring chain or connecting links, the diameter for different periods of service life can be established if the corrosion and wear rate can be predicted. If the corrosion and wear rate is uncertain, a conservative approach using the nominal diameter minus the corrosion and wear allowance should be considered for the fatigue analysis. The reference breaking strength for a wire rope should be its minimum breaking strength (MBS).

For mooring line components with no standard fatigue capacity models (S-N curve or T-N curve), fatigue testing should be performed to determine the mooring line fatigue capacity.

For the mooring components that could experience a much more complicated loading pattern, such as those in a jetty mooring system, in comparison with that in offshore mooring leg (bending, tension, etc.), and may experience high level of wear and tear, ultraviolet, heat and other harsh environments, traditional Tension-Tension fatigue analysis may not yield reliable prediction of the fatigue life of these components. Those components should be designed for easy inspection, maintenance and replacement.

### 11.7 Design Life and Replacement

Mooring line components and fender system components can be designed so as to not to require replacement during their design life or service life (see Subsection 2/1 for design life of permanent components). Mooring line components and fender system components may also be designed to be repairable and replaceable when needed during service life based on planned inspection and maintenance. The design life of components intended for use on a temporary basis can be lower than 20 years and should be regularly inspected and replaced when necessary based on material degradation.

For mooring line components that are not intended to be replaced during design life, the replacements of such permanent components should depend on the inspection results from scheduled surveys required as conditions for class. Replacement should be performed when required by the inspection results.

For mooring line components, such as rope tails and fender system components that are intended to be regularly inspected and may be repaired and replaced periodically, the inspection plan including inspection methods and inspection intervals of such temporary components should be in place and specified in the Operations Manual.

## 13 Other Design Considerations

Mooring equipment should be reviewed in accordance with published ABS requirements for such equipment or applicable recognized industry standards. Applicable ABS references and industry standards are:

- Chain: *ABS Guide for the Certification of Offshore Mooring Chain*
- Fiber Ropes: *ABS Guidance Notes on the Application of Fiber Rope for Offshore Mooring*
- Wire Rope: API Spec 9A and API RP 9B
- OCIMF MEG3

### 13.1 Clearance

Clearance (ship-to-unit, unit-to-jetty, unit bottom clearance, mooring lines to other adjacent structures) should be considered in the design.

Sufficient bottom clearance of the floating structure should be maintained for water level variations due to tides and draft, trim, and motions of the floating structures for all design conditions.

Clearance between an LNGC or other carrier to the floating structure (vessel-unit) and between the floating structure to the jetty when applicable (unit-to-jetty) should be considered in the design.

The mooring system should be designed with sufficient clearance to avoid interference with other adjacent structures for all design conditions.

### 13.3 Mooring Supporting Structure

Structures supporting anchoring equipment, fairleads and winches should be designed to the expected design loads. Structural strength, bulking and fatigue of mooring supporting structures onboard the floating structure as applicable should be considered in the design. Design and analysis criteria should conform to the structural design requirements specified in 5A-1-4/3, 5B-1-2/7.3, 5B-2-4/5.3, and 5B-3-4/1.1 of the *FPI Rules* and 3-7/3 of the *FLGT Guide*.

Considerations concerning the strength for mooring supporting structures and all mooring fittings (such as chain stopper, fairleads, chocks, bitts, rollers, etc.) should be based on the principle of mooring line failure before fitting failure and fitting failure before hull structure or foundation failure.

### 13.5 Mooring Holding Foundation

Structural strength, bulking and fatigue of mooring holding foundations for winches, fairleads and other mooring equipment on board the FLGT, FSRU, and other floating structure as applicable, should be considered in the design. The design and analysis criteria should conform to the structural design requirements specified in Section 5A-1-3, 5B-1-2/7.3, 5B-2-3/1.13, and 5B-3-3/1.13 of the *FPI Rules* and 3-7/5.3 of the *FLGT Guide*.

### **13.7 Corrosion, Wear and Rope Creep**

Corrosion, wear and rope creep should be considered in the design. Chain and wire rope will be degraded due to corrosion and wear. Special attention should be paid to the components that often go through chocks, sheaves, and bend shoes. In addition to wear or abrasion of the fiber ropes, ropes may creep and experience creep rupture due to sustained and cyclic loads. Creep rupture can be a failure mode of fiber rope, such as HMPE (high modulus polyethylene), due to continuous creep over time under a specific load and temperature.

When chain is designed as a permanent component of the mooring lines, the allowance for corrosion and wear should be included in the design. It should be noted that corrosion rate depends on type of steel and sea water environment, and is often significantly accelerated in the first few years of service.

Corrosion protection can be provided by galvanizing individual wires. Corrosion of wire rope at connections to sockets can be excessive due to the galvanized wire acting as an anode for adjacent components. For permanent systems it is recommended that either the wire be electrically isolated from the socket or that the socket be isolated from the adjacent component. Additional corrosion protection can be achieved by adding sacrificial anodes to this area. Applying sheathing could be helpful for the long-term integrity of the wire.

For polyester mooring ropes, line adjustments may be needed during design service life due to rope creep, and sufficient upper chain or wire segment length should be retained to allow future line adjustments where applicable. Estimate of future line adjustments can be carried out using the creep rates at the creep plateaus from the quasi-static stiffness test.

A main concern with HMPE (high modulus polyethylene) is its tendency to creep and the potential for failure via creep rupture, which should be addressed in the design of permanent moorings. As an HMPE rope creeps under tension, eventually it stretches to the point of complete failure. Another concern is the need for re-tensioning because of HMPE's high creep rate. A creep analysis should be performed for an intact mooring to estimate the total creep strain during the design service life. A creep rupture analysis should be performed for an intact mooring to estimate the creep rupture life.

Aramid rope has better resistance to creep than polyester and HMPE rope, and therefore creep and creep rupture analysis are not required for mooring design utilizing Aramid rope.

### **13.9 Safety Considerations**

Safety considerations, such as snap-back should be taken into account in the design.

Snap-back is the sudden release of the energy stored in a tensioned mooring line when it parts as the mooring line reverts to its original length. The two ends of the line recoil or snap-back towards or past their secured ends. When a synthetic mooring line breaks, the snap-back effect can be extremely powerful and the rope ends may reach a high velocity as they recoil. Anyone within the snap-back zone at either end of the line risks serious injury or death.

It is to be noted that the most likely snap-back zones depend on actual mooring arrangements onboard. Sometimes, a synthetic tail is added to a mooring line to provide additional elasticity in the mooring system and serve to reduce peak dynamic loads. As a result of the tail's elasticity, the elongation of the total mooring line under tension is increased; this introduces significant stored energy that will be released if the mooring line fails. When connecting synthetic tails to HMSF (High Modulus Synthetic Fiber) and wire mooring lines, the energy introduced due to the elasticity of the tails can significantly increase the snap-back hazard.

### **13.11 Bend Radius and Bending Fatigue of Mooring Line**

Bending fatigue of mooring line components, such as chain and wire rope, should be considered in the design. The strength and life expectancy of fiber rope and wire are directly related to the anticipated bend radius in service. The rope manufacturer's guidelines on acceptable minimum bend radius and industry guidelines, such as OCIMF MEG3 and API RP 2SK, should be consulted for each specific application. For some applications in a permanent mooring, location of fiber rope segments should be designed to be away from fairlead and seafloor to avoid excessive bending by using segments of chains at the top and bottom. Allocating the winches toward the deck edge can also reduce the bending of the mooring lines.

The strength of wire rope decreases when bent over a radius. An acceptable minimum bend ratios should be determined based on different application.

At the moment, publically available data for bending-tension fatigue of chain, wire and fiber rope are insufficient for generating design curves. In the absence of a fatigue design, precautionary measures should be taken to avoid mooring failure due to bending-tension fatigue. For example, the fairlead to line diameter ratio ( $D/d$ ) should be large enough to minimize bending. The portion of mooring line in direct contact with a fairlead should be regularly inspected. Also, this portion should be periodically shifted to avoid constant bending in one area.

Industry experience indicates that chain links in direct contact with fairleads, bending shoes, chain stoppers, or hawser pipes can be subjected to additional stress concentrations, which in turn can cause premature fatigue failure. Stress concentrations under these conditions should be carefully evaluated by finite element analysis, especially for permanent moorings. Fatigue analysis should account for the additional stress concentration in these areas.

It is recommended that fairleads used in position mooring system should provide sufficient sheave to rope diameter ratio to minimize tension-bending fatigue. It is recommended that sheaves for wire rope have diameter ( $D/d$ ) ratios of 16-25 for relatively short term mobile mooring and 40-60 for long-term permanent mooring. Typically 7 to 9 pocket wildcat sheaves are recommended for chain. Other constructions which provide similar or better support may be considered.

It is also recommend that all the winches and related mooring equipment be located to the side of the unit to avoid bending over side and snap back effects.

### **13.13 Axial Compression Fatigue of Fiber Rope**

Axial compression fatigue of fiber rope should be considered in the nearshore position mooring design when fiber ropes are used as the mooring line components.

Axial compression degradation is a failure mode for fiber rope under low tension or compression. Axial compression fatigue for aramid ropes should be properly addressed. Individual filaments may suffer compression fatigue if the bending of the rope is severe.

### **13.15 Mooring Load Monitoring**

A mooring load monitoring system or other acceptable monitoring system should be provided for tracking environmental conditions or mooring line tensions in order to assist in the decision to disconnect the floating structure from the mooring system for a disconnectable nearshore position mooring, as well as tracking line life and stopping operations for non-disconnectable mooring system.

When mooring line adjustment is required in the design, moored floating structures should be equipped with a calibrated system for measuring mooring line tensions if the operation requires mooring line adjustment, and line tensions should be continuously displayed at each winch. For floating structures that do not require a tension measurement device, a device for detecting mooring failure should be considered if the mooring lines of the mooring system are not visually inspectable for line failure.

It should be noted that upon completion of mooring line adjustment, line tensions should be set to the design values. The mooring system should be set back to its original approved design configuration. The winch brake should be applied and winch motor put out of gear, if applicable.

### **13.17 Mooring Equipment**

If the mooring lines are terminated and secured by winch brakes, the winch brakes should be able to render at 60% Minimum Breaking Load (MBL). Since brakes may deteriorate in service, it is recommended to design new equipment to hold 80% of the line's MBL, but have the capability to be adjusted down to 60% of the line's MBL. If the mooring system is designed to be terminated and secured by a chain stopper for a long-term operation, the chain stopper should be designed to the MBL of the mooring line.

### **13.19 Inspection and Replacement**

For nearshore mooring systems, the mooring components are generally accessible. Mooring system design should consider easy inspection and replacement of the mooring components.



## SECTION 3 Documentation

### 1 Mooring Arrangement and Equipment

The following plans and specifications should be submitted for review:

- i)* Mooring arrangement or pattern
- ii)* Details of mooring line components
- iii)* Details of connections between mooring segments
- iv)* Details of connections at anchors to mooring line segments, if applicable
- v)* Details of mooring line end fitting and accessories
- vi)* Details of clump weight and in-line (spring) buoy, if applicable
- vii)* Details of buoy for Catenary Anchor Leg Mooring (CALM) system, if applicable
- viii)* Details of Single Anchor Leg Mooring (SALM) structures, if applicable
- ix)* Details of Turret System to show turret structure, swivel and turntable, if applicable
- x)* Details of yoke (hard or soft) connecting the floating structure to CALM/SALM structure, if applicable
- xi)* Details of anchoring system and/or pile foundation, if applicable
- xii)* Details of onboard mooring equipment
- xiii)* Details of mooring disconnection devices, if applicable
- xiv)* Details of monitoring systems, if applicable
- xv)* Specifications for mooring line components, connections, fittings and accessories
- xvi)* Specifications for onboard mooring equipment

### 3 Analysis Reports

The following analysis reports should be submitted for review:

- i)* Environmental report
- ii)* Site condition for soil data, if applicable
- iii)* Environmental loads calculation
- iii)* Model Test report when the design loads are based on model tests in a wave basin
- iv)* Hydrodynamic and motion analysis report
- v)* Mooring strength and fatigue analysis reports
- vi)* Sedimentation study, where applicable

## 5 Operations Manual

An operations manual with following information on the nearshore position mooring should be submitted for review:

- i)* Limiting environmental conditions for design operation conditions.
- ii)* Limiting environmental conditions for mooring disconnecting conditions, if applicable.
- iii)* Disconnecting Procedure, if applicable.
- iv)* Inspection interval of rope tails and mooring line components and fenders, if applicable.
- v)* Rope and rope tail replacement interval, if applicable. Supporting documents for recommended replacement interval may be required.



## APPENDIX 1 Abbreviations

### 1 Abbreviations

|        |  |
|--------|--|
| ABS:   | American Bureau of Shipping                          |
| API:   | American Petroleum Institute                         |
| CALM:  | Catenary Anchor Leg Mooring                          |
| CNG:   | Compressed Natural Gas                               |
| DEC:   | Design Environmental Condition                       |
| DIC:   | Design Installation Condition                        |
| DISEC: | DISconnecting Environmental Condition                |
| DOC:   | Design Operating Condition                           |
| DOFs:  | Degrees-Of-Freedom                                   |
| FLGT:  | Floating Offshore Liquefied Gas Terminals            |
| FPI:   | Floating Production Installations                    |
| FPSO:  | Floating Production Storage and Offloading           |
| FSRU:  | Floating Storage and Regasification Unit             |
| HMPE:  | High Modulus Polyethylene                            |
| HMSF:  | High Modulus Synthetic Fiber                         |
| LNG:   | Liquefied Natural Gas                                |
| LNGC:  | Liquefied Natural Gas Carrier                        |
| LPG:   | Liquefied Petroleum Gas                              |
| MBL:   | Minimum Breaking Load                                |
| MBS:   | Minimum Breaking Strength                            |
| MEG3:  | Mooring Equipment Guidelines 3 <sup>rd</sup> edition |
| OCIMF: | Oil Companies International Marine Forum             |
| QTF:   | Quadratic Transfer Function                          |
| ROVs:  | Remotely Operated Vehicles                           |
| SALM:  | Single Anchor Leg Mooring                            |
| TLP:   | Tension Leg Platform                                 |





## APPENDIX 2 Reference Standards and Guidelines

### 1 ABS Rules, Guides and Guidance Notes

The *ABS Guidance Notes on Nearshore Position Mooring* are intended for use in conjunction with the *FPI Rules* and the *FLGT Guide* or other applicable ABS Rules and Guides as listed below:

- i) *ABS Rules for Building and Classing Floating Production Installations (FPI Rules)*
- ii) *ABS Guide for Building and Classing Floating Offshore Liquefied Gas Terminals (FLGT Guide)*
- iii) *ABS Guide for Building and Classing LNG Regasification Vessels*
- iv) *ABS Rules for Building and Classing Single Point Moorings (SPM Rules)*
- v) *ABS Guide for the Certification of Offshore Mooring Chain*
- vi) *ABS Guidance Notes on the Application of Fiber Rope for Offshore Mooring*
- vii) *ABS Guide for Building and Classing Gravity-Based Offshore LNG Terminals*
- viii) *ABS Rules for Building and Classing Offshore Installations (Offshore Installations Rules)*
- ix) *ABS Guide for Building and Classing Liquefied Gas Carriers with Independent Tanks*
- x) *ABS Guide for Liquefied Gas Carrier Storage Service*
- xi) *ABS Guide for LNG Bunkering*
- xii) *ABS Guide for Automatic or Remote Control and Monitoring Systems for Vessels in Port*

### 3 Industry Standards and Guidelines

References issued by other industry organizations are listed as below:

- i) *API RP 2FPS, Recommended Practice for Planning, Designing, and Constructing Floating Production Systems*
- ii) *API RP 2SK, Recommended Practice for Design and Analysis of Stationkeeping Systems for Floating Structures*
- iii) *API RP 2SM, Recommended Practice for Design, Manufacture, Installation, and Maintenance of Synthetic Fiber Ropes for Offshore Mooring*
- iv) *API RP 2T, Recommended Practice for Planning, Designing, and Constructing Tension Leg Platforms*
- v) *API RP 2I, Recommended Practice for In-Service Inspection of Mooring Hardware for Floating Drilling Units*
- vi) *API Spec 9A, Specification for Wire Rope*
- vii) *API RP 9B, Recommended Practice on Application, Care, and Use of Wire Rope for Oil Field Service*
- viii) *ISO 19901-7, Petroleum and natural gas industries - Specific Requirements for Offshore Structures, Part 7: Stationkeeping Systems for Floating Offshore Structures and Mobile Offshore Units*
- ix) *OCIMF MEG3, Mooring Equipment Guidelines, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, by Oil Companies International Marine Forum*
- x) *OCIMF, The Hazards of Snap-back, Oil Companies International Marine Forum*